

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST  
8 COMPANY,

Case No. 2:17-CV-1760 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

9  
10 v.

11 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

13  
14 Presently before the court is defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's motion for demand for  
15 security of costs. (ECF No. 9). Defendant asks that plaintiff Deutsche Bank National Trust  
16 Company, as trustee for Soundview Home Loan Trust 2006-OPT2, asset-backed certificates, series  
17 2006-OPT2 file security of costs in the amount of \$500.00 in favor of the defendant pursuant to  
18 NRS 18.130(1) because plaintiff is a non-resident of Nevada.

19 The Ninth Circuit recognizes that "federal district courts have inherent power to require  
20 plaintiffs to post security for costs." *Simulnet E. Assocs. v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co.*, 37 F.3d  
21 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1994). A federal district court typically follows the forum state's practice  
22 regarding security of costs, particularly when a party is a non-resident. *See, e.g.*, 10 Charles Alan  
23 Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2671 (3d ed. 1998). Section 18.130  
24 of the Nevada Revised Statutes provides that the court may require an out-of-state plaintiff to post  
25 a security for costs in an amount up to \$500.00 upon request by a defendant. Nev. Rev. Stat. §  
26 18.130.

27 This court finds it appropriate to order security of costs in this matter.  
28


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant’s motion for demand for security of costs (ECF No. 9) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall post security in the amount of \$500.00 within seven (7) days of the entry of this order.

DATED August 4, 2017.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE